





# Report for Rare Materials Cataloguing

## Response Counts




# 1. Are you cataloguing or planning to catalogue your rare materials with RDA?



Value	Percent	Responses
We are already cataloguing our rare materials with RDA	44.3%	58
We are planning to catalogue our rare materials with RDA	24.4%	32
We have no plans of cataloguing our rare materials with RDA	31.3%	41

Totals: 131

2. If you are not planning to catalogue your rare materials with RDA, which was the main reason for your decision?




Value	Percent	Responses
My institution is not going to adopt RDA as cataloguing standard	26.2%	16
My institution has adopted/is going to adopt RDA as cataloguing standard but its development for the cataloguing of rare materials is insufficient	44.3%	27
Other - Write In	29.5%	18

Totals: 61

Other - Write In	Count
Although I have answered affirmatively to question number 1, I also agree with the second option this question	1
Am a rare materials creator, and am interested in collaborating with libraries	1
DCRM gives a more thorough description	1
Does not apply	1
My institution is not going to adopt RDA, at the moment	1
My institution not yet trained in cataloguing rare materials with RDA	1
My parent institution is not a library or education institution and will not make funding available for the change of standard	1
No facilities to support the exercise	1
Ours is not a question of lack of will or desire to adopt RDA, it is one of labor and resources	1
RDA as a cataloguing standard for cataloguing rare materials is sufficient	1
RDA is insufficient at present. However, work is being done on this by the RBMS in the US and if that proves a succes we will consider using RDA	1
So much of the cataloguing project was already done without RDA	1
We already use RDA for more modern materials. We continue to use DCRM for older titles. The outcome of the RBMS efforts to incorporate RDA into DCRM will determine how we go forward.	1
We are waiting for the RBMS policy statements to be finalised.	1
We have no visibility on the time wich we will adopt RDA	1
We use a hybrid approach, predominantly DCRM or AMREMM with fields added to accommodate RDA	1
my institution is intended to use RDA in it's long term plan.	1
Totals	17



3. If you are not planning to catalogue your rare materials with RDA, which standard are you going to use?




Value	Percent	Responses
ISBD	39.7%	25
DCRM	33.3%	21
Other - Write In	27.0%	17

Totals: 63

Other - Write In	Count
AACR2	1
AACR2 and DCRM	1
Again primarily DCRM but also AMREMM	1
Cco	1
Croatian cataloguing code and manual	1
Does not apply	1
I'm using a combination of RDA, ISBD, and DCRM focusing on populating Machine Actionable Data	1
It depends on who I can find to work with	1
Not yet planned	1
Primarily DCRM, although we also use DACS for archival material. Have used GIFC for graphic materials but migrating to DCRM(G).	1
RAK Alte Drucke	1
RDA	1
Recomendations from our National Library	1
We use RDA + DCRM, following the BIBCO Standard Record. It isn't one or the other (RDA vs. DCRM),	1
partly based on ISBD	1
spectrum	1
Totals	16

4. If you are cataloguing or planning to catalogue your rare materials with RDA, have you developed or are you planning to develop your own policy statements?







Value	Percent	Responses
We have already developed our own policy statements for rare materials	25.6%	30
We are working or planning to work on our own policy statements for rare materials	31.6%	37
We have no plans of developing our own policy statements for rare materials	42.7%	50

Totals: 117




5. If you have developed, are developing or are planning to develop your own policy statements for rare materials, are they or will they be based on ISBD?



Value		Percent	Responses
Yes, our policy statements for rare materials are or will be based on ISBD		48.3%	43
Our policy statements for rare materials are or will be only partly based on ISBD		29.2%	26
No, our policy statements are not or will not be based on ISBD		22.5%	20

Totals: 89


6. If you have no plans of developing your own policy statements for rare materials, are you planning to align with the future RBMS policy statements?





Value	Percent	Responses
Yes, we are planning to align with the RBMS policy statements	38.0%	35
No, we are not planning to align with the RBMS policy statements	16.3%	15
Partly: we are planning to align with the instructions that suit us better	45.7%	42

Totals: 92

7. If you have no plans of developing your own policy statements for rare materials and you are not planning to align with the future RBMS policy statements, are you planning to align with other institution's policy statements for rare materials?



Value		Percent	Responses
Yes		53.4%	31
No		46.6%	27

Totals: 58

8. If you are planning to align with other institution's policy statements, have you already decided which institution would you align with?




Count	Response
3	Biblioteca Nacional de España
2	CSUC
2	No
2	Portugueses BN
1	Al Saoud Foundation- Morocco
1	BNE
1	BNF (french recommandation "Catalogage des monographies anciennes)
1	Biblioteca Nacional de Mexico
1	Biblioteca Nacional, IFLA
1	Biblioteca de Catalunya

Count	Response
1	CBUA
1	Goethe Institut
1	IFLA
1	Library of Congress
1	Libris
1	National Library of Brazil
1	National Library of Sweden
1	National and Universiti Library in Zagreb (Croatia)
1	National and University Library in Zagreb
1	No yet
1	No.
1	Our own
1	QNL
1	Searching for an institution with which to work
1	TBD
1	University of Oxford
1	We expect that common practices will be developed for swedish libraries that catalogue rare materials
1	Yale
1	n/a
1	no
1	no.



9. If there was an international, IFLA-endorsed, set of recommendations to catalogue rare materials with RDA, would you align with it?



Value	Percent	Responses
Yes	60.3%	79
No	5.3%	7
I don't know	34.4%	45

Totals: 131